rn District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HUNG LAM,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>14-cv-00877-PSG</u>

VERDICT FORM

VERDICT FORM

When answering the following questions and filling out this verdict form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the jury instructions. Please refer to the jury instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

IIC .	ilisti uctions	of this Court as our vertice in this case.			
1.		u find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Officer Dondi West nreasonable force against Plaintiff Hung Lam?			
	Yes	No			
,	you answer oceed to Qu	ed "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then lestion 3.			
2.		d by a preponderance of the evidence that Officer West substantially caused a suffer any injury caused by Officer West's unreasonable force in Question			
	Yes	No			
Pr	oceed to the	e next question.			
3.	Do you fine	d by a preponderance of the evidence that Officer West battered Mr. Lam?			
	Yes	No			
Pr	oceed to the	e next question.			
4.	attempted enjoyment	nd by a preponderance of the evidence that Officer West interfered or to interfere by threat, intimidation or coercion with the exercise or by Mr. Lam of rights secured by the Constitution, the laws of the United e laws of California, causing harm to Mr. Lam?			
	Yes	No			
Pr	oceed to the	e next question.			
5.		d by a preponderance of the evidence that Officer West was negligent in her ereby causing the shooting of Mr. Lam?			
	37	NT.			

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," stop here, answer no further questions and proceed to page 4.

6.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Officer West's negligence was substantial factor in causing harm to Mr. Lam?				
	Yes No				
•	ou answered "Yes," then answer the e, answer no further questions and		eed to page 4.		
7.	Do you find by a preponderance of th	e evi	dence that Mr. Lam was negligent?		
	Yes No				
•	If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," stop here, answer no further questions and proceed to page 4.				
8.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Lam's negligence was substantial factor in causing his harm?				
	Yes No				
If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," stop here, answer no further questions and proceed to page 4.					
9.	What percentage of responsibility for Mr. Lam's harm do you assign to the following:				
	Officer West		%		
	Mr. Lam		%		
	TOTAL	100	%		
Pro	oceed to the next page.				

Case 5:14-cv-00877-PSG Document 133 Filed 12/09/15 Page 4 of 4

	1	Have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
	2	
	3	Signed: Presiding Juror
	4	Presiding Juror
	5	Dated:
	6	
	7	After this verdict form has been signed and dated, notify the courtroom deputy that you are ready
	8	to present your verdict in the courtroom.
	9	
	10	
	11	
t ia	12	
Cour	13	
of Ca	14	
United States District Court Northern District of California	15	
State 1 Dist	16	
nited rtherr	17	
N _O	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	SO ORDERED.
	25	Dotad: December 0, 2015
	26	Pare S. Alera
	27	PAUL S. GREWAL United States Magistrate Judge
	28	4

Case No. <u>14-cv-00877-PSG</u> VERDICT FORM